

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

The attached drawing includes changes to FIG. 17A. FIG. 17A has been amended to add a connecting line as requested by the Examiner.

For the convenience of the Examiner, an annotated sheet showing the changes made is attached. Approval of these changes to the Drawings is respectfully requested.

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action mailed July 11, 2005, the Examiner noted that claims 3, 5-8, 11-14, 16 and 18-24 were pending, objected to claims 3, 5, 6 and 16 and rejected claims 7, 8, 11-14 and 18-24. Claims 3, 5, 7, 11-14, 16, 18, 19 and 21 have been amended, and, thus, in view of the forgoing claims 3, 5-8, 11-14, 16 and 18-24 remain pending for reconsideration which is requested. No new matter has been added. The Examiner's rejections and objections are traversed below.

In the Action the Examiner objected to the Abstract and the Abstract has been corrected. Withdrawal of the objection is requested.

In the Action the Examiner objected to figure 17A. Figure 17A has been corrected. Withdrawal of the objection is requested.

On pages 6 and 7 the Examiner objected to claims 3, 5, 6, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 19. These claims have been amended adopting the Examiners suggested language of "dot size". Withdrawal of the objection is requested.

On pages 7-9 the Examiner rejected claims 7, 8, 11-14, 18-24 as indefinite. The Examiners rejections are addressed below. Claims 7 and 18 stand rejected for the use of the phrases "substantially" when used with vertical or horizontal. It is submitted that one of ordinary skill in the art would understand the meaning of "substantially" as being consistent with the dictionary definition of:

substantially 1 to a great or significant extent. 2 for the most part; essentially.  
(See Compact Oxford English Dictionary Copyright Oxford University Press, 2005)

Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 7 and 18 is requested. Claims 8, 11, 12, 13, and 20-24 are dependent claims that have been rejected for their dependency. Withdrawal of the rejection of these dependent claims is also requested. Claim 21 has been amended to address the concern raised by the Examiner. Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 21 is requested. Claims 7, 14, 18 and 19 have been amended to address concern raised by the Examiner. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 7, 14, 18 and 19 and the claims that depend there from is requested.

On pages 9 and 10 the Examiner rejected claims 13, 14, 18 and 19 under 35 USC section 112, 1st paragraph. In this rejection the Examiner alleged that the processing is not interrupted but prevented. Claims 13, 14, 18 and 19 have been amended to clarify them in accordance with the understanding of the Examiner. Withdrawal of the rejection of these claims is requested.

Serial No. 09/835,620


It is submitted that the claims satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. The claims are therefore in a condition suitable for allowance. An early Notice of Allowance is requested.

If any further fees, other than and except for the issue fee, are necessary with respect to this paper, the U.S.P.T.O. is requested to obtain the same from deposit account number 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 11/17/15

By:   
J. Randall Beckers  
Registration No. 30,358

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501